



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: WRE IA FY22 Depressional

Program: ACEP-WRE

Pool Status: Active

States: IA (Admin)

Template: FY 2021 ACEP-WRE General **Template Status:** Active

Last Modified By: Sindra Jensen

Last Modified: 10-14-2021

Land Uses

Land Use	Modifier 1	Modifier 2	Modifier 3	Modifier 4	Modifier 5	Modifier 6
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--
Water	--	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	10	10	80
Long term protection of land	10	80	80
Terrestrial habitat	10	10	80

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	50	100	100

Long term protection of land			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	85	100	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

Practices

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Well Decommissioning	351	Conservation Practices
Dike	356	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Firebreak	394	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	Conservation Practices
Land Clearing	460	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	Conservation Practices
Stream Crossing	578	Conservation Practices
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices
Subsurface Drain	606	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Underground Outlet	620	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Shallow Water Development and Management	646	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices
Wetland Enhancement	659	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices
Acquisition Process - Appraisal	LTAPA	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Update	LTAPAU	Easements
Acquisition Process - Boundary Survey	LTAPBS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Closing Services	LTAPCS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Full Phase I	LTAPFP1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Acquisition Process - Title Search	LTAPTS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Contract	LTP30YC	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Easement	LTP30YE	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	50
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	20
Resource Priorities	Default	20	55	70
Program Priorities	Default	15	30	30
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: WRE IA FY22 Depressional (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: IA FY22 Applicability

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The landowner and land are eligible for enrollment in ACEP-WRE in Iowa.	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: IA FY22 Category Question

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What restorable wetland type is contained with the ACEP-WRE application area boundary?	Depressional	--
	Riverine	--
	Missouri River	--
	Fen/Remnant	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: IA ACEP-WRE FY22 Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
From the choices below, select the score from Section IA. "Wildlife Benefits"	6	20
	4	12
	2	6
	0	0
From the choices below, select the score from Section IC, part 2 of the ranking form "Applications in CRP":	6	20
	5	15
	4	10
	3	5
	2	3
	1	1
	0	0
From the choices below, select the score from Section IIA. of the ranking form, "Economic Benefit":	4	8
	3	6
	2	4
	1	2
	0	0

Section: IA ACEP-WRE FY22 Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
From the choices below, select the score from Section IIB. of the ranking form, "Restoration Cost":	6	12
	5	10
	4	8
	3	6
	2	4
	1	2
	0	0
The landowner will accept a 5% reduction to the determined offer value:	YES	20
	NO	0
From the choices below, select the score from Section IIIG., "Percentage of Application Area Cropped":	5	20
	3	10
	1	5

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Iowa FY22 ACEP-WRE Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
From the choices below, select the score from Section IB., "Landscape Significance"	20	75
	18	67.5
	15	56.25
	10	37.5
	5	18.75
	3	11.25
From the choices below, select the score from IC., "Proposed Hydrologic Restoration":	30	125
	22	91.67
	14	58.33
	5	20.83
From the choices below, select the score from Section IC., "Threatened and Endangered Species Considerations":	>4 pts	20
	2-4 pts	10
	<2 pts	3.33
Did the application score points in Section IIIA. of the ranking form?	YES	20
	NO	0
Did the application score points in Section IIIB. of the ranking form?	YES	20
	NO	0
Did the application score points in Section IIII (3i) of the ranking form?	YES	20
	NO	0

Section: Iowa FY22 ACEP-WRE Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
From the choices below, select the score from Section IC., "Water Quality Benefits of the Easement":	10	20
	6	10
	4	5
	0	0

FY22 Iowa Agricultural Conservation Easement Program Wetland Reserve Easement Ranking Evaluation

Landowner/Project Name:			County (Field Office):	Prepared By:	Date:
					10/28/2021
TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTION	APPLICATION ACRES		

SECTION I. Environmental Considerations

A. WILDLIFE BENEFITS (select one)

☐ 6 ☐ 4 ☐ 2 ☐ 0

Score

B. LANDSCAPE SIGNIFICANCE (only one check per wetland class/shaded region)

PROPOSED RESTORATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND WETLAND CLASS			
Depressional			
# of Closed Basins w/in Easement Area	Upland : Wetland Ratio		
	≥1:1	0.5:1-0.99:1	<0.5:1
≥ 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 20	<input type="checkbox"/> 15	<input type="checkbox"/> 10
3 - 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 15	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
≤ 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 10	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 3
- OR -			
Basin Size (Size of largest RESTORABLE wetland basin)			
≥ 20 acres	<input type="checkbox"/> 20		
10 - 19 acres	<input type="checkbox"/> 18		
5 - 9 acres	<input type="checkbox"/> 15		

NOTES:

Score (Maximum 20)

C. HYDROLOGY, WILDLIFE HABITAT AND WATER QUALITY BENEFITS

PROPOSED HYDROLOGIC RESTORATION			
Current Condition/Status of Wetlands Proposed for Restoration			
Wetland Class	Current Condition/Restoration Potential	Score	
Depressional	HIGH - ALL tile or surface drainage and/or PSA can be removed; ALL wetland basins restored	<input type="checkbox"/> 30	
	MEDIUM HIGH - Non-perforated tile necessary in restoration plan, ratio of LF of tile:Easement Acres <20:1 AND restored basin acres total more than those that are unrestorable.	<input type="checkbox"/> 22	
	MEDIUM LOW - Non-perforated tile necessary in restoration plan, ratio of LF of tile:Easement Acres >20:1 OR unrestorable basin acres total more than those that are restorable.	<input type="checkbox"/> 14	
	LOW - presence of DRAINAGE DITCHES, INFRASTRUCTURE, EASEMENT BOUNDARY CONFIGURATION or OFFSITE CONDITIONS significantly limit restoration potential	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	
APPLICATIONS IN CRP			
CRP Practice	Years Left in Contract		
	0-5	>5	
CP-9, CP-23, CP-23A, CP-27, CP-28, CP-37, CP-38B	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Total for CRP Section (Maximum 6 points)
CP-22, CP-25, CP-30, CP-31, CP-38E, CP-39, CP-41, CP-42	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	
CP-2, CP-3, CP-4B, CP-4D, CP-5A, CP-15A, CP-16A, CP-17A, CP-29	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 0	
			0
THREATENED & ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS			
0	# of Federal wetland dependent T&E species that restoration and management of the application area will result in protection or recovery of (5 pts. each). Eligible species include: Eastern Massasauga, Piping Plover, Topeka Shiner, Pallid Sturgeon and Least Tern.	0	
0	# of State wetland dependent T&E species that restoration and management of the application area will result in protection or recovery of (3 pts. each)	0	
0	# of Federal/State wetland dependent species of concern that restoration and management of the application area will result in protection or recovery of (1 pt. each)	0	

List T&E Species Benefitted as Determined by IDNR Biologist:

Score (Maximum 10 points)

WATER QUALITY BENEFITS OF EASEMENT

<input type="checkbox"/> 6	1) Restoration of the application area will include provisions for closure of an ag drainage well or similar abandoned private well.
<input type="checkbox"/> 4	2) The application area is located within an Iowa Water Quality/Nutrient Reduction Strategy Initiative HUC8 Watershed or a subwatershed incorporated under a Watershed Management Authority (WMA).
0	Score

NOTES:

SECTION I TOTAL:

0

SECTION II. Cost Considerations**A. ECONOMIC BENEFIT****Dollars Per Environmental Consideration Point ¹**

< \$100 ☐ 4 \$101 - \$125 ☐ 3 \$126 - \$175 ☐ 2 \$176 - \$225 ☐ 1 > \$226 ☐ 0

¹ 2017 ISU Iowa Land Values divided by total points from Section 1

County	
Average per Acre cost based upon 2017 ISU Values	#N/A

Dollars Per Environmental Consideration Point	#N/A
-----------------------------------------------	------

Score
(Maximum 4)

B. RESTORATION COST
(only one check per shaded region)**ESTIMATED PER ACRE COST FOR RESTORING/ ESTABLISHING VEGETATION**

< \$500 ☐ 3 \$500 - \$1000 ☐ 2 \$1001 - \$1500 ☐ 1 > \$1500 ☐ 0

ESTIMATED PER ACRE CONSTRUCTION COST FOR RESTORING WETLAND AREAS

< \$350 ☐ 3 \$350 - \$700 ☐ 2 \$701 - \$1050 ☐ 1 > \$1050 ☐ 0

Score
(Maximum 6)

SECTION III. Additional Considerations (check all applicable areas)

A. Restoration and management of the application area would be <u>beneficial to</u> , and located within suitable separation distance of a documented <u>non-wetland dependent</u> INAI Threatened or Endangered species as determined by IDNR biologist.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
B. Application area is >35 acres and enrollment will result in a <u>contiguous wetland complex</u> greater than 500 acres in size when added to adjacent and similarly protected easements and/or public land.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
C. The application area includes a Fen or Hydric Prairie Remnant with an FQI ≥ 20	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
D. The landowner will accept (in writing) a 5% reduction to the determined offer value.	<input type="checkbox"/> 2
E. The application is for a 30 year easement.	<input type="checkbox"/> -30
F. The application area is <35 acres and is not contiguous with another permanent, currently enrolled NRCS conservation easement.	<input type="checkbox"/> -30
G. Percent of Application Area Cropped (NOT CRP)	>66% <input type="checkbox"/> 5 >33-66% <input type="checkbox"/> 3 0-33% <input type="checkbox"/> 1
H. Percent of Application Area with Existing Vegetation Requiring Conversion	$\leq 20\%$ <input type="checkbox"/> 5 >20-30% <input type="checkbox"/> 3 >30% <input type="checkbox"/> 1
I. This application is for a permanent easement and would allow for the <u>restoration</u> of one or more acres of neighboring, partially restored <u>wetland</u> currently enrolled in an EWRP, WRP, ACEP/WRE easement.	<input type="checkbox"/> 4

Score

APPLICATION
TOTAL SCORE